SCHOOL FACILITY CONSULTANTS

West Contra Costa Unified School District
December 11, 2013

State School Facility Program Funding Summary

SCHOOL FACILITY CONSULTANTS

Presentation Overview

- State Funding Process Overview
- Funding Strategy
- District Successes
- Seismic Funding Program
- Other Funding Updates
- Proposition 39
- Future Outlook
- New State Bond Proposals

SCHOOL FACILITY CONSULTANTS

State Funding Process Overview

- State funding provided through voter-approved State bonds
- Site eligibility determination - Match State funding programs to District projects
- Project submittal upon Division of the State Architect and California Department of Education approval
- If State bond funds are available, funding is reserved and then released with priority to “construction ready” projects
- If State bond funds are not available, applications are accepted by the State and await funding availability (i.e. Future voter-approved state bond) subject to the rules and requirement of the newly available funds

SCHOOL FACILITY CONSULTANTS

Funding Strategy

- District has actively participated in State School Facility Program (SFP) over the last 10+ years
- Receiving State facility funding has dollar for dollar reduced the burden on the District’s local bond program
- District is well positioned to receive cash from the State due to its vibrant local bond program and “construction ready” projects

SCHOOL FACILITY CONSULTANTS

Funding Strategy

- State funding received often reimburses local bond funds allowing the District to pursue additional projects
- Not all school districts choose to participate in State funding programs
- District takes all steps necessary to ensure it can maximize State dollars

SCHOOL FACILITY CONSULTANTS

Funding Strategy

- District has been able to take advantage of almost all State programs available
- District continues to pursue all available funding avenues
- District has received over $162 million in State School Facility Program allocations, and has additional projects currently in line for funding

SCHOOL FACILITY CONSULTANTS

Cash Allocations

Program Type	Amount
New Construction	$13,412,478
Modernization	$100,179,652
Joint Use	$1,500,000
Charter School Facility Program	$14,015,081
Overcrowding Relief Grants	$7,092,482
Seismic Mitigation Program	$13,902,896
Facility Hardship	$654,579
Emergency Repair Program	$11,728,371
Total	**$162,485,539**

SCHOOL FACILITY CONSULTANTS

Projects With Funding Reserved

Program Type	Amount
Charter School Facility Program LPS Richmond Charter	$692,436
Total	**$692,436**

This project has been submitted and processed by the State, and is awaiting apportionment in a future Priority Funding round.

SCHOOL FACILITY CONSULTANTS

Submitted Projects
No Funding Guarantee

Program Type	Estimated Potential Funding
Modernization Peres ES -- $1,101,173 Gompers Cont -- $2,402,936 Coronado ES -- $1,254,797	$4,758,906
Total	**$4,758,906**

These projects have been submitted and processed by the State, however have been identified as being outside the current State Bond Authority, and are awaiting a funding source (i.e. Future State Voter Approved Bond)

SCHOOL FACILITY CONSULTANTS

Seismic Mitigation Program

- DSA Process
  - Phase 1 – Program Eligibility
    - Eligible Building Type
    - Most Vulnerable: Ground Shaking, Faulting, Liquefaction, Landslide
    - Concurrence Letter Issued
  - Phase 2/3 – Replacement Funding/Remediation Funding Concurrence
    - Minimum Required Work to Mitigate
    - Cost Benefit Analysis
    - Concurrence Letter Issued
  - Phase 4 – Plan Approval
    - Final Plans Submitted
    - Final Plan Approval Letter Issued

Seismic Mitigation Program

- OPSC Process
  - Request for Conceptual Approval (Optional) – After Phase 2/3
    - Confirms Eligible Project
    - Identifies Estimated Eligible Funding
    - No Funding Guarantee
  - Request for Funding – After Phase 4
    - Secures Your Place in Line for Funding
    - Must Have all Agency Approvals in Place
    - Funding for 50% of Minimum Required Work to Mitigate for Remediation Projects; or
    - Funding for 50% of Replacement Cost for Replacement Projects.

Seismic Mitigation Program

- Program Changes
  - Original Requirements (May 2006)
    - Category 2 Buildings – 4 Specified Building Types
    - Ground Shaking – Only Factor: 1.70g or Higher
  - First Adjustment (Effective November 2009)
    - Category 2 Buildings – **8** Specified Building Types
    - Ground Shaking – Only Factor: **1.68g** or Higher
  - Second Adjustment (Effective September 2011)
    - Category 2 Buildings – **14** Specified Building Types
    - **Eligible Buildings now include buildings where DSA agrees that there is an unacceptable risk of injury due to ground shaking, faulting, liquefaction or landslide.**

Seismic Mitigation Program Status of State Funds

$199.5 Million	Total Set Aside from Proposition 1D
$24.8 Million	Amount Apportioned
$12.0 Million	Amount on Unfunded List
$24.1 Million	Amount on Workload List
$138.6 Million	Net Available Funding as of November 25, 2013

Additionally, there have been four projects approved by the State Allocation Board as Conceptual Approvals. If converted to Full Funding Requests, these projects could result in an additional $35.9 Million drawdown from the available funding.

Portola Seismic Project

- Seismic Funding approval was the largest in the State to date
  - $13.9M (almost 4X) larger than the next largest seismic project approved to date
- Seismic funding goes to the top of the approval list. First in line for cash along with other health and safety projects

SCHOOL FACILITY CONSULTANTS

Gompers Seismic Funding

- Buildings did not meet eligibility thresholds until most recent OPSC program adjustments
- Engineer retained in June 2013 to prepare seismic evaluation
- Site required AB 300 List modification for Building Type designation (Request submitted June 28, 2013, Approved by DSA August 13, 2013)
- DSA Phase 1 Eligibility Package submitted on October 31, 2013 for Auditorium and Classroom Buildings
- Remaining Tasks:
  - ✓ Obtain Phase 1 Concurrence
  - ✓ Obtain DSA Concurrence to Minimum Required Work – Will need detailed cost estimate of minimum required cost to mitigate.
  - ✓ Remediation vs. Replacement Funding Analysis
  - ✓ Submittal of Full Funding Request
- Potential State Funding – TBD

SCHOOL FACILITY CONSULTANTS

Crespi Seismic Funding

- DSA Phase 1 Eligibility Concurrence Letter Received on February 15, 2012 for Gymnasium
- DSA Concurrence Letter for Evaluation and Design Criteria Report Received on June 26, 2013
- Remaining Tasks:
  - ✓ Detailed cost estimate of minimum required cost to mitigate.
  - ✓ Submit Request for OPSC Conceptual Approval – Need
  - ✓ Remediation vs. Replacement Funding Analysis
  - ✓ Preparation of Final DSA Plans
  - ✓ Submittal of Full Funding Request
- Potential State Funding - TBD

SCHOOL FACILITY CONSULTANTS

Pinole Valley HS Seismic Funding

- Design under way for replacement project at site
- Engineer retained in August 2013 to prepare seismic evaluation
- DSA Phase 1 Eligibility Package submitted on October 31, 2013 for Library/Admin, Little Theater, Cafeteria, Gym and Career Center/Classroom Buildings
- Remaining Tasks:
  - ✓ Obtain Phase 1 Concurrence
  - ✓ Obtain DSA Concurrence to Minimum Required Work – Will need detailed cost estimate of minimum required cost to mitigate.
  - ✓ Remediation vs. Replacement Funding Analysis
  - ✓ Submit Request for OPSC Conceptual Approval
  - ✓ Submittal of Full Funding Request After All Approvals in Place
- Potential State Funding - TBD

SCHOOL FACILITY CONSULTANTS

LPS Richmond Charter School

- October 14, 2013 State Allocation Board Meeting Funding Approval-- **$11,535,445**
  - Fund Release Submitted October 22, 2013
  - OPSC Processing Date: Pending
  - Warrants to be issued by State Controller -- 2-4 Weeks after OPSC Processing
- $692,436 Additional Unfunded Approval at June 26, 2013 SAB Meeting Awaiting Apportionment
  - Estimated to receive funding in 2014/15, pending State bond sales
- Total Project Funding -- **$14,707,517**
  - $2,479,636 received in June 2012
  - $11,535,445 fund release submitted October 22, 2013
  - $692,436 Unfunded Approved June 26, 2013

SCHOOL FACILITY CONSULTANTS

WCCUSD Approvals Beyond Bond Authority

- Peres Elementary -- **$1,101,173**
  - Unfunded Approval in January 2013
  - Approximately $109.5M beyond bond authority
- Gompers Continuation -- **$2,402,936**
  - Unfunded Approval in March 2013
  - Approximately $114.6M beyond bond authority
- Coronado Elementary -- **$1,254,797**
  - Unfunded Approval in May 2013
  - Approximately $213.7M beyond bond authority
- Approximately $165.5M in other modernization projects have passed on cash in most recent Priority Funding Round

SCHOOL FACILITY CONSULTANTS

"Non-Participation" Regulations

- The "Non-Participation in the Priority Funding Process" regulations are now in effect (approved on March 25, 2013)
- "Non-Participation" occurs when a project fails to certify to the State that it is "construction ready"
- WCCUSD projects are "construction ready".
- The second time a project fails to certify to the State that it is "construction ready", the project will lose its funding reservation
- Some projects failed to certify for the July 2013 – December 2013 round. If those projects fail to certify for the second time for the January 2014 – June 2014 round, it could provide an opportunity for projects currently out of the bond authority to move into the bond authority

SCHOOL FACILITY CONSULTANTS

Other Funding Updates

- Helms Playfield Modernization
  - Submitted to OPSC November 4, 2013
  - $83,503 Estimated
- Kennedy Science Classrooms Modernization
  - Submitted to OPSC December 6, 2013
  - $864,661 Estimated
- Valley View Replacement Campus – Modernization
  - OPSC Submittal Pending DSA Approval
  - $1,167,243 Estimated
- Lincoln Facility Hardship Reimbursement
  - OPSC Submittal Pending DSA Concurrence
  - Estimate TBD

The modernization projects have been identified as being outside the current State Bond Authority, and are most likely awaiting a Future State Voter Approved Bond. They are therefore not guaranteed funding and will be accepted, but not processed by the State.

SCHOOL FACILITY CONSULTANTS

Proposition 39

- The District's Proposition 39 Allocation for 2012-13 is **$1,404,990**
- Charter School allocations are not included in this figure
- The District is in the process of identifying projects eligible to allocate this funding to
- The regulations are expected to be approved by the California Energy Commission on December 19, 2013

Future Outlook

- District has a proven track record of success in its pursuit of funding under the School Facility Program
- District has no plans to suspend its efforts and will continue to press ahead with additional State funding applications wherever possible
- While State funding is currently limited, there is discussion about a November 2014 State School Bond, and the District is well positioned for success in a future State facility program

2014 State Bond Proposals

- Assembly Member Joan Buchanan introduced AB 41
- Senator Ellen Corbett introduced SB 45
- Senator Carol Liu introduced SB 301
- Assembly Member Buchanan and Senator Liu Chair Assembly/Senate Education Committees and are also members of the State Allocation Board
- Bills contemplate a proposed bond for the November 2014 ballot

SCHOOL FACILITY CONSULTANTS

Questions